Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 6063 SB	Title: Persistent offenders	Agency:	055-Administrative Office of the Courts
Part I: Estimates			
No Fiscal Impact			
Estimated Cash Receipts to:			
NONE			
Estimated Expenditures from:			
Non-ze	ero but indeterminate cost and/or savin	gs. Please see discussion.	
Estimated Capital Budget Impact:			
NONE			
	es on this page represent the most likely fiscal	impact. Responsibility for expend	itures may be
subject to the provisions of RCW 43.1 Check applicable boxes and follow			
If fiscal impact is greater than	\$50,000 per fiscal year in the current big	ennium or in subsequent biennia	, complete entire fiscal note fo
Parts I-V. X If fiscal impact is less than \$5	50,000 per fiscal year in the current bienn	ivan on in subsequent biomeis s	omenlate this mass only (Dont I)
Capital budget impact, comp		ium or in subsequent blennia, c	omplete this page only (Part 1)
Capital budget impact, comp	icie Pari IV.		
Legislative Contact Kelsey-anne	Fung	Phone: 360-786-7479	Date: 01/09/2024
Agency Preparation: Chris Conn		Phone: 360-704-5512	Date: 01/25/2024
Agency Approval: Chris Stanle	у	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 01/25/2024
ΦFM Review:		Phone:	Date:

1

FNS061 Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

190,398.00

Form FN (Rev 1/00)

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

This bill modifies the definition of Persistent Offender.

Section 1 adds a new section to chapter 9.94A RCW that would require a resentencing hearing for offenders sentenced as a Persistent Offender if a conviction for an offense that occurred when the offender was under the age of 18 was used as a basis for the finding of Persistent Offender. Additionally, states an offense occurring when the offender was under the age of 18 shall not be considered a most serious offense, regardless of the date of the offense.

Section 2 Amends the definition of a "Persistent Offender" in RCW 9.94A.030.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

None

II. C - Expenditures

Indeterminate, but likely to increase the number of resentencing hearings. The Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) has no information concerning the age of an individual at the time of the offenses in the criminal history. There is no data available in the case management systems to estimate the number of resentencing motions that would be filed as a result of this bill. Nor can AOC provide exact workload metrics as the impact is unknown.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. D - FTE Detail

NONE

III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

NONE

IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)

NONE

190,398.00 Request # 54-1

Form FN (Rev 1/00) 2 Bill # 6063 SB

IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

None